Study of Soil Parameters of Agriculture Land of Mehsana District, North Gujarat, India

H.A. Patel

Sir P. T. Science College, Modasa, Gujarat, India Email: haphysics65@gmail.com

Abstract: In present research work, we have made analytical study of chemical parameters of agricultural soil of different villages of Mehsana district of North Gujarat. My primary focus is to study of Moth beans which is based on randomly selected 20 soil samples. Soil samples were collected by locally trained farmers and brought for soil test at Laboratory. Soil analysis is done by standard methods. The aim of this work is to study the fertility of soil of different agricultural land of Mehsana district by measuring soil parameters,

Key words: Soil, Moth, Nutrient, Mehsana, North Gujarat.

INTRODUCTION:

For analysis of soil, it is necessary to know the fundamental needs of soil. Soil is a natural medium which provides water, nutrients, air and heat to the plants for its wholesome growth and give mechanical support to the plant. Soil is a reservoir of major nutrients required by the crops but it doesn't provide all the necessary nutrient immediately as requirement of plants. The aim of this analysis is to assess the surplus, adequacy or deficiency of available nutrients for growth of crop and to monitor change by farming of crop. Plant growth depends on fertility of soil and soil fertility is determined by the availability of nutrients either in the form of macro or micro.

The area of this study is agricultural land of different taluka of Mehsana district which is situated in North Gujarat in India. Its area is more than 4,500 km². The temperature variation is 45°C (max.) to 12°C (min.) and average rainfall is 800-900 mm.

Major soils are medium black, sandy and hydromorphic type. Major field crops are bajra, green gram, castor, groundnut, cotton, wheat, moth bean and major horticultural crops are mango, papaya, banana, cucurbits etc.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

We have selected 20 soil samples based on Moth crop from different agricultural land of Mehsana district for this study. The detail of the collected soil samples are shown in table 1.

Table No-1 Identification of Soil samples

| SAMPLE | NAME OF | NAME OF | SAMPLE | NAME OF | NAME OF |
|--------|------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------|
| NO. | VILLAGE | TALUKA | NO. | VILLAGE | TALUKA |
| | | | | | |
| 1 | Manekpura | Vijapur | 11 | Vav | Satlasana |
| 2 | Kada | Visnagar | 12 | Kansa | Visnagar |
| 3 | Aithor | Unjha | 13 | Transvad | Kheralu |
| 4 | Gunjala | Mehsana | 14 | Pilvai | Vijapur |
| 5 | Nandasana | Kadi | 15 | Kadi | Kadi |
| 6 | Bechraji | Bechraji | 16 | Sunshi | Visnagar |
| 7 | Kukarvada | Vijapur | 17 | Undhai | Kheralu |
| 8 | Pimpaldar | Kheralu | 18 | Karali | Unjha |
| 9 | Soneripura | Mehsana | 19 | Malosan | Vijapur |
| 10 | Kharod | Vijapur | 20 | Kamana | Visnagar |

TOOLS AND TECHNIQUES:

Mean, Maximum, Minimum and Standard Deviation are calculated from the measured soil parameters. Descriptive statistical analysis is used to analyze the data of soil samples. Variables like Phosphorus (P), Potassium (K), Electrical Conductivity (EC), Organic Carbon (OC) and pH are included in this study for analysis.

SOIL SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS:

These samples were collected by a systematic sampling strategy at 0 to 15 cm depth below the surface of soil. The samples were dried and passed through a 2 mm sieve to prepare them for testing. All the samples were tested using standard Manual-Soil Testing Methods used in India.

Physical parameters like electrical conductivity (EC), phosphorus (P), potassium (K), Organic carbon (OC) and pH are measured for different collected soil samples.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

The statistics analysis of parameters of soil samples are shown in table 2. The pH parameter of collected soil samples varies between the range 8.7 and 6.9 with the mean value of 7.69. As presented in table-2 and table - 3, pH value indicates soils are neutral to alkaline in reaction. (6.9 - 8.7).Majority samples are alkaline and 5% samples are neutral. No sample is acidic in nature (pH < 6.5). The Electrical conductivity (EC) is varied from 0.27 to 0.55 dScm-1 with a mean value of 0.40 dScm-1. The values of EC is in the range 0 - 2. This shows that all samples are salt free (ref: table 3). Organic carbon (OC) of the soil is varied from 0.35 - 0.76 with a mean value of 0.58 as in table-2. It is very low (< 0.50) in 5% soil samples, 90% soil samples are medium and 5% samples are with high value (> 0.75). Phosphorus(P) parameter in the samples is 30-52 kg/ha.

Table 2: Soil characteristics of selected samples from the study area

| | K | OC | pН | EC |
|------|---|---|---|---|
| 46 | 252 | 0.66 | 7.3 | 0.28 |
| 38 | 248 | 0.65 | 7.7 | 0.32 |
| 42 | 262 | 0.57 | 7.4 | 0.27 |
| 42 | 270 | 0.76 | 6.9 | 0.42 |
| 35 | 268 | 0.72 | 8.1 | 0.42 |
| 30 | 242 | 066 | 8.5 | 0.55 |
| 37 | 232 | 0.55 | 8.2 | 0.33 |
| 46 | 281 | 0.47 | 7.6 | 0.45 |
| 48 | 291 | 0.64 | 8.7 | 0.47 |
| 52 | 266 | 0.35 | 7.3 | 0.28 |
| 47 | 256 | 0.48 | 7.0 | 0.36 |
| 45 | 244 | 0.54 | 8.1 | 0.38 |
| 42 | 257 | 0.68 | 7.9 | 0.41 |
| 36 | 264 | 0.72 | 7.6 | 0.52 |
| 38 | 245 | 0.59 | 8.2 | 0.47 |
| 32 | 232 | 0.52 | 7.6 | 0.37 |
| 38 | 278 | 0.65 | 7.3 | 0.38 |
| 36 | 271 | 0.74 | 7.3 | 0.44 |
| 48 | 283 | 0.71 | 7.8 | 0.53 |
| 52 | 263 | 0.63 | 7.2 | 0.43 |
| 41.5 | 260.2 | 0.58 | 7.69 | 0.40 |
| 30 | 232 | 0.35 | 6.9 | 0.27 |
| 52 | 291 | 0.76 | 8.7 | 0.55 |
| 6.37 | 16.49 | 0.11 | 0.49 | 0.083 |
| | 38 42 42 35 30 37 46 48 52 47 45 42 36 38 32 38 36 48 52 41.5 30 52 | 38 248 42 262 42 270 35 268 30 242 37 232 46 281 48 291 52 266 47 256 45 244 42 257 36 264 38 245 32 232 38 278 36 271 48 283 52 263 41.5 260.2 30 232 52 291 | 38 248 0.65 42 262 0.57 42 270 0.76 35 268 0.72 30 242 0.66 37 232 0.55 46 281 0.47 48 291 0.64 52 266 0.35 47 256 0.48 45 244 0.54 42 257 0.68 36 264 0.72 38 245 0.59 32 232 0.52 38 278 0.65 36 271 0.74 48 283 0.71 52 263 0.63 41.5 260.2 0.58 30 232 0.35 52 291 0.76 | 38 248 0.65 7.7 42 262 0.57 7.4 42 270 0.76 6.9 35 268 0.72 8.1 30 242 0.66 8.5 37 232 0.55 8.2 46 281 0.47 7.6 48 291 0.64 8.7 52 266 0.35 7.3 47 256 0.48 7.0 45 244 0.54 8.1 42 257 0.68 7.9 36 264 0.72 7.6 38 245 0.59 8.2 32 232 0.52 7.6 38 278 0.65 7.3 36 271 0.74 7.3 48 283 0.71 7.8 52 263 0.63 7.2 41.5 260.2 0.58 <td< td=""></td<> |

Table – 3 General Interpretation of Soil Parameters

| | pН | EC | OC | K | P | S |
|----------------|-------------------------|----------------|------------|----------|----------|---------|
| 20 | F | dS/cm | % | Kg/ha | Kg/ha | ~ |
| Parameters | | | , , | | 9 | |
| net | | | | | | |
| raı | | | | | | |
| Pa | | | | | | |
| | < 4.6 Extremely | 0-2 Sault free | < 0.5 | < 108 | < 10 | 8 – 10 |
| | Acidic | | Low | Low | Low | (ppm) |
| | | 4–8 Slightly | | | | Critica |
| | 4.6 – 5.5 Strongly | Saline | 0.5 - 0.75 | 108 –280 | 10 –24.6 | l limit |
| | Acidic | | Medium | Medium | Medium | |
| | | 9-15 Moderate | | | | |
| u | 5.6 – 6.5 Moderate | Saline | > 0.75 | >280 | >24.6 | |
| Tić. | Acidic | | High | High | High | |
| et | | >15 Highly | | | | |
| Interpretation | 6.6 – 6.9 Slight Acidic | Saline | | | | |
| ıte | | | | | | |
| 1 | 7 Neutral | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | 7.1 -8.5 | | | | | |
| | ModerateAlkaline | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | > 8.5 Strongly | | | | | |
| | Alkaline | | | | | |

It is observed that phosphorous in all the samples are of high range (> 24.6). It can be due to high fertilizer practice. In case of potassium no sample is in low range. Among 20 samples, 85% contains medium amount (108 - 280) whereas remaining 15% are with very high amount (> 280). It may be due to use of over fertilizers.

CONCLUSION:

Study shows that the study area is free from salt, not even acidic in nature. It is moderately neutral in nature. Very high value of potassium and phosphorus indicates much use of fertilizers. EC and pH of study area are fairly good for agriculture.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT:

Authors are thankful to Dr. S D Vedia (Incharge Principal) and Dr R H Parmar, Head of Physics Department, Sir P.T.Science College, Modasa for providing the necessary laboratory facilities and encouragement and also thankful to farmers of this area for their kind co-operation.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Vijayakumar R, Arokiaraj A, Martin DP. Micronutrients and their Relationship with Soil Properties of Natural Disaster Proned Coastal Soils. Res J Chem Sci. 1(1):pp.8-12, 2011.
- 2. Mico C, Recatala L, Peris M, Sanchez J. Assessing heavy metal sources in agricultural soil of an European Mediteranean area by multivariate analysis. Chemoshere, 65:863-72, 2006.
- 3. Mali VS, Zende NA, Verma UK. Correlation between soil physic-chemical properties and available micronutrients in salt effected soils, 17th WCSS 2002; Thailand
- 4. Gharekhan A, Oza AN, Sureshkumar MB, Pradhan A, Panigrahi PK. Study of polarized spectral features of human breast tissues through

- 5. Gharekhan A, Arora S, et al. Distinguishing auto-fluorescence of Normal, Benign and Cancerous Breast Tissues through Wavelet Domain Correlation Studies. Journal of Biomedical Optics, 16(8):087003, 2011.
- 6. Also published in Virtual Journal of Biological Physics Research 2011;22(4) Kutch. vibrantgujarat.com Soils of Gujarat http://goo.gl/CF9Rb Agriculture Contingency Plan for District, Kutch, Gujarat.
- 7. "Methods Manual-Soil Testing in India", Department of Agriculture & Cooperation Ministry of Agriculture Government of India, 2011.
- 8. Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis 1973; Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi.
- 9. Chauhan JS. Fertility status of soils of Birla Panchayat Samiti of Jodhpur district Rajasthan. M.Sc.(Ag.) 2011;Thesis:MPUAT Udaipur
- 10. Singh RP, Mishra SK. Availablemacronutrients(N,P,K and S) in the soils of chiraigaon block of district Varansi(U.P.) in relation to soil Characteristics. Indian J Sci Res,3(1), pp.97-100, 2012.