LOW VELOCITY LAYER MAPPING OF ERUWA, SOUTH-WESTERN NIGERIA USING SEISMIC REFRACTION TECHNIQUE

Adiela, U.P¹, Omontese, S.O², Emudianughe, J³

¹Department of Petroleum Engineering, Nigerian Agip Oil Company, Port Harcourt ^{2 &,3} Department of Earth Science, Federal University of Petroleum Resources, Effurun, Nigeria.

Abstract: This study shows how blind zones (Low Velocity Layers) can be determined from uphole seismic refraction. In this study, seismic refraction data from grid 5594/1961 ERUWA Oyo state SW Nigeria were analyzed for velocity variation which represents different geometry with different characteristics. The data sets used consist of corrected first breaks at 5m and 10m charge depth respectively. T-X curve showing Travel Time versus Offset Distance was plotted using GNUPLOT Iteration software. The velocities of the layers are V₁= 3745(m/s), V₂=6060.61(m/s) and V₃= 17647.06(m/s) with thicknesses of H₁=m (9.53ft), H₂=m (54ft). Blind zone was observed between layer 2 and layer 4 with a thickness Z=m (69ft) which was calculated using the Nomograph by Maillet and Bazerque, 1931.

Keywords: Seismic; Refraction, Blind Zones; Layers; Nomograph; South-West.

INTRODUCTION:

Seismic refraction method is widely used to delineate the low-velocity weathered layers for computation of statics corrections that are applied to the main reflection data. In addition it is considered as a valuable tool for near-surface geophysics and engineering, such as delineation of bed rock or basement and determining the engineering properties of ground. The seismic refraction method requires that the earth materials increase in seismic velocity as depth increases (Dix, 1955). The analysis of refraction data becomes more complicated when the materials contain layers that dip or are discontinuous. For shallow application in which low velocity layer are encountered within a few meters or tens of meters below the Earth's surface, the increasing-velocity requirement is a severe constraint. The applicability was investigated in Eruwa, Oyo state located in the South-Western part of Nigeria at Latitude 3°24'E and Longitude 7°32'N. Geographically, the area is bounded in the south east by Abeokuta and in south west by Ibadan and about 60km from Ibadan. Its altitude ranges from 50m to 200m above mean sea level and lies within the tropical rain forest belts of Africa.



Figure 1: Map showing Eruwa (Google Earth 2010)

Most part of the area is covered by Precambrian basement complex rocks (Igneous and Metamorphic rocks).

METHODOLOGY:

In this study, seismic refraction data from grid 5594/1961 ERUWA Oyo state SW Nigeria were analyzed for velocity variation which represents different geometry with different characteristics. Interpretation of the Offset geophone data was done through the following procedures;

- Plotting of the T-X plot using iteration software such as GNUPLOT.
- Determination of Velocity of layers, from the slope of each segment in the T-X plot.
- Computing layer thickness of each layer from their characteristics velocity.
- Analysis of Velocity contrast between layers to check for the possible existence of a Low velocity layer (LVL), not detected by the T-X plot of first arrivals.
- Determination of thickness of the LVL (if present) using the Nomograph table.

Figure 2: Seismic Refraction Data Acquisition

The data sets used consist of corrected first breaks at 5m and 10m charge depth respectively. For each channel at every shot, 15 shots were taken and arrival times were normalized by subtracting pre-trigger time from first breaks.



RESULT AND ANALYSIS:

Data Presentation

SEISMIC REFRACTION DATA

INSTRUMENT: ABEM Terraloc Mark 6 Seismograph

LOCATION: Eruwa High School, New Eruwa Oyo State, South-Western Nigeria

CO-ORDINATE: N 07⁰ 50¹ 43.9¹¹ E 003⁰ 56¹ 58.9¹¹

CHARGE DEPTH: 20ft

Shots	Geophone Offset(Ft)	Pick up time for 15ft (5m) charge depth (msec)
1	5	5
2	25	10
3	65	20
4	83	25
5	100	30
6	150	42
7	200	50
8	260	62
9	325	71
10	350	75
11	400	79
12	500	85
13	600	91
14	700	96
15	800	100

Table 1: Corrected first breaks for Geophone offset at 15ft charge depth.

The base map for the field is shown in Figure 3. The corrected first breaks and their Geophone offset are shown in Table 1 and 2 respectively. The T-Z plot for charge depths 20m is shown in figure 4 where we observed a major velocity reversal and a sharp contrast between the second and third layer. This suggests that there is an intermediate layer or (thin beds) sandwiched between these two layers not revealed in the T-Z curve due to insufficient velocity contrast or thickness there.





Time distance Curve.



Figure 4: T-X curve for charge depth 15Ft, Trav 11, ERUWA SW NIGERIA.

Layer	Velocity(Ft /sec)	T _I (msec)
1	3745	4
2	6060.61	17
3(LVL Intermediate bed)	?	?
4	17647.06	58.5

Table 2: Result of the interpretation of the T-X curve above, showing the various layers and their velocities.

From the T-Z plot shown in figure 4; the theory of Seismic refraction studies velocity increase progressively with depth (V1 < V2 < V3 < V4), for a four layers earth case which is the case for layer 1, 2, -, 4. Also between layer 2 and 4, the velocity contrast is too far (6060.61Ft/sec – 17647.06Ft/sec) compared to layer 1 and 2. This observation suggests that there is possible existence of a Blind (LVL zone) between layers 2 & 4, which is not detected on the T-Z curve shown in figure 4 above.

Computing Maximum Thickness of the Suspected Low Velocity Zone (LVL).

The thickness of the suspected hidden zone (LVL) was computed to ascertain the maximum thickness it would have and still not be manifested by first arrivals on the time-distance curve shown in figure 4. This was computed using a method proposed by (Soske 1959; Maillet and Bazurque 1931; Leet 1938; Green 1962; Domalski 1956).

•Using the Intercept time equation given as;

 $H = \frac{Ti}{2} \frac{VoV1}{\sqrt{V1^2 - Vo^2}}$

Thickness of the first layer is given by;

 $H1 = \frac{0.004}{2} \frac{3745 \times 6060.61}{\sqrt{6060.61^2 - 3745^2}} = 9.53 \text{ ft.}$

Where H2 (max) (ft) = Maximum thickness of the layer that directly Overlies the hidden layer of interest; Ho(max) is obtained by ignoring the possibility that an intermediate zone exists, Ti is the intercept time of this layer obtained from the T-X curve above, V0, V1 are the characteristics velocities of the layers Overlying & Underlying the hidden layer respectively.; Using these assumptions.

$$H2(max) = \frac{0.017}{2} \frac{6060.61 \times 17647.06}{\sqrt{17647.06^2 - 6060.61^2}} = 54 \text{ ft.}$$

• If an Intermediate zone exists between layers with velocities 6060.61ft/sec, and 17647.06ft/sec, its velocity will probably have an intermediate value between these two velocities, we can assume a value of velocity of this intermediate zone such that it should be greater than 6060.61ft/sec (that overlies it) but far less than 17647.06ft/sec (that underlies it) resulting to its inability to be detected by first arrivals. From these assumptions, the probable Velocity of the Intermediate zone assumed is about 995ft/sec.

•We now determine $\alpha 12$, $\alpha 23$, and $\alpha 13$, given as;

$$\alpha 12 = \sin^{-1} \frac{3745}{6060.61} = 38.2^{\circ}$$
$$\alpha 23 = \sin^{-1} \frac{6060.61}{17647.06} = 20.1^{\circ}$$
$$\alpha 13 = \sin^{-1} \frac{3745}{17647.06} = 12.3^{\circ}$$

• Using the Nomograph table shown below, we determine the approximate value of R, which is found from the intersection point of $\alpha 12=38.2^{\circ}$ & $\alpha 23=20.1^{\circ}$, and interpolating between the R lines (Maillet and Bazerque, 1931). R is approximately equal to 1.15. Also we find the value of S defined as;

$$S = \frac{\tan \alpha 23}{\tan \alpha 13} = \frac{0.3659}{0.2180} = 1.6782$$

• So the maximum thickness Z(max) of the Intermediate zone is determined using the equation;

 $Z (\max) = \frac{\text{RS}}{\text{R+S}} \text{Ho}(\max) = \frac{1.15 \times 1.6782}{1.15 + 1.6782} \times 54 = 36.9 ft.$

• Also Ho(min), the minimum thickness of the Overlying layer to the intermediate zone is determined using;

$$Ho(min) = \frac{Z(max)}{R} = \frac{36.9}{1.15} = 32.1 ft$$

Therefore the Maximum undetectable thickness of the Hidden layer (LVL) is 36.9ft, and the combined average thickness of the two layers (Overlying and Hidden) ranges from a minimum of 54ft (No intermediate zone) up to a maximum of 69ft.



Figure 5: Nomograph used in determination of thickness of possible hidden layer, Maillet and Bazerque, 1931).

Interpretation

From the analysis and result obtained, it shows that there is an Intermediate zone "Hidden Layer", sandwiched between the 3,745ft/sec and 17,647.06ft/sec layer. The hidden layer has to be at least 69ft in order that refractions from it are recorded as first arrivals, Bruce (1973) and Soske (1959).

CONCLUSION:

The following conclusions are drawn:

(a) The Nomograph table proves useful for determination of the thickness of a Low velocity layer, where it is suspected to exist.

(b) The result indicates that the Blind zone needs to be about 69ft thick for its refractions to be recorded as first arrivals on the geophone units.

(c) This technique is useful as a quality control check, which is valuable in Seismic reflection data acquisition projects, were it is required investigate deep subsurface reflectors, Km's away from the earth, to mapping complex geologic environments such as marginal fields and deep waters.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Barthelmes, A.J., : Application of continuous profiling to refraction shooting, *Geophysics, Vol. 11: 24-42.* (1946).
- 2. Catchings, R.D., and Mooney, W.D., : Crustal structure of the Columbia plateau: Evidence for continental rifting, *Journal of Geophysical research 93*, 459-474. (1938).
- 3. Dix, C.H., : Seismic velocities from surface measurements, Geophysics, Vol. 16, pp.192-206. (1995).
- 4. Dobrin, M. B., : Introduction to Geophysical Prospecting (McGraw-Hill, New York). (1960).
- 5. Domalsk, W.,: "Some Problems of Shallow Refraction Investigations;" *Geophysical Prospect. Vol. 4, 140-166.* (1956).
- 6. Faust, L.Y., : Seismic velocity as a fraction of depth geologic time, *Geophysics, Vol. 16, pp. 192-206.* (1951).
- 7. Green, R., : "The Hidden Layer Problem". Geophysical. Prospect. Vol. 10, 166-177. (1962).
- 8. Hales, F.W., : An Accurate Graphical method for Interpreting seismic Refraction Lines, *Geophysics* prospect, 6, 285-294. (1958).
- Hawkins, L. V., (1961). "The Reciprocal Method of Routine Shallow Seismic Refraction Investigations," *Geophysics 26*, 806-819.
- 10. Leet, I.D., : Practical Seismology and Seismic prospecting. Appleton Century-Crofts Inc, New York. (1938).
- Maillet, S., and Barzeque, M., (1931). Seismic studies of the earth's Crust in continents, I:Evidence for a low-velocity zone in the upper part of the Lithosphere. *Geophysical Journal of the Royal Astronomical* society 10, 525-538.
- 12. Ogagarue, D.O., : Comparative Study of the Offset- Geophone and down-deep hydrophone seismic refraction survey with application to the Niger Delta Basin, *University press, Nigeria*. (2007).
- 13. Press, F., and Dobrin, M.B., : Seismic wave studies over a high speed surface layer, *Geophysics 21., 285-298.* (1956).
- 14. Slotnick, H.M., : A graphical method for the interpretation of refraction profile data. *Geophysics, Vol 15: 163-180.* (1950).
- 15. Soske, J.L., : "The Blind Zone Problem in Engineering Geophysics", Geophysics 24, 359-365. (1959).
- 16. Tewari, H.C., Dixit, M.M., and Murty, P.R.K., : Use of travel time skips in refraction analysis to delineate velocity inversion, *Geophysics prospecting 43, 793-804.* (1957).
- 17. Tarrant, L.H., : A Rapid Method of determining the form of a seismic Refractor from line profile Results, *Geophysics prospecting 4, 131-139.* (1956).
- 18. Telford, W.M., Geldert, L.P., Sheriff, R.E., and Keys, D.A., : Applied Geophysics, *University Press, England.* (1990).
- 19. Wyrobek, S.M., : Application of delay and intercept times in the interpretation of multilayer timedistance curves. *Geophysics prospecting*, vol 4: 112-130. (1956).
- 20. Whiteley, R.J., and Greenhalgh, S.A., : Velocity inversion and the shallow seismic refraction method. *Geophysics Exploration 17, 125-141.* (1990).