



Indo-China Relations: A Critical Analysis

Mohd Ayoub Kumar

Research Scholar, Jiwaji University, Gwalior (M.P)

Email - kumarayoub110@gmail.com

Abstract: *Since China and India are the two biggest and fastest-rising countries in Asia in the context of contemporary world politics, their relations are becoming more and more complicated. There are many clear similarities between these two relationships, including a common culture, a desire to regain dominance in international affairs, and comparable modernizing goals. Relationships can also be hampered by a number of issues, major among them being protracted regional conflicts, contests over local dominance, and more general issues with ambassadorial relationships, most notably those involving the relationship between China and India. Because of this, it is feasible to evaluate India-China relations from an economic and military perspective, with certain areas of their relationship being perceived as having both benefits and drawbacks together. This study demonstrates how New Delhi and Beijing's strategic goals are frequently both convergent and divergent, looking at the genesis and contemporary expression of this fundamental dynamic in their connections throughout the past 75 years.*

Key Words: *Diplomacy, multipolarity, Indo-Pacific, Economic power, Military power, Road initiative.*

1. INTRODUCTION:

As the biggest and fastest-rising countries in Asia, relations between China and India are becoming more complex in today's world politics. The partnership is defined by several unique similarities, such as a shared historical understanding of both nations as major civilizations of global importance and a common desire among their leaders and peoples to reclaim their place as influential players in the world system (Ogden, 2017; Muzaffar, et. al. 2018). These shared histories and perspectives are strengthened by the fact that European authorities have jointly participated in extremely destructive foreign involvements in the past. as well as by the fact that China and India already have some of the world's greatest military, economic, demographic, and territorial capacities. The two organizations are united by their adherence to modernization and development plans, which successfully strengthens them in all areas of international affairs and makes achieving larger goals of regional, bilateral, and international trade a shared aim (Zhu, 2011).

Taking into account how quickly their economies have expanded over the last few decades—Beijing's growth has been longer and faster than New Delhi's—both nations are now more involved in international diplomacy than they have ever been. There is a growing trend toward expressing comparable stresses in the expression of parallel difficulties in matters ranging from how China and India, as developing governments, are successfully handling the environment issues to acquiring further represented speeches in contemporary and innovative global organizations. These opinions are reinforced by enduring and lingering suspicions about the reasons behind US hegemony, which are in turn encouraged by a shared commitment to setting-up a global order based on multipolarity as opposed to only US-led unipolarity (Pant, 2011). The conviction that the twenty-first century would be known as the Asian Century, with the Asian sphere serving as the crucial fulcrum of international politics, and the maintenance of a peaceful and safe Asian sphere supporting both their basic interests, dominates these commonalities (Muzaffar & Khan, 2016; Ogden, 2022; Muzaffar, et. al 2017).

In spite of these remarkable positive similarities, connections between China and India have a number of negative characteristics. Such confrontations are frequently exacerbated by their critical proximity, both in terms of their political objectives and physical proximity. The 1962 war and the current incidents in Galwan, which caused the casualties in both states, are examples of historical and more recent confrontations that have worsened the territorial disputes between



Aksai Chin and Arunachal Pradesh. In a broader sense, analysts have seen a battle for hegemony, power, and influence between the two sides that penetrates Beijing's and New Delhi's shared quest for energy security and regional trade (Scott, 2008).

This self-motivated also affects each state's bilateral connections with other nations in the Indo-Pacific, East Asia, South East Asia, and South Asia, with the Indian Ocean Region (IOR) taking center stage. Here, New Delhi is most concerned about China's tight relations to Pakistan, while Beijing is most concerned about India's growing relations to the US (as well as Russia, Japan and Australia). The latter is particularly important since it relates to the dynamics of the Asian balance of power and determines whether state will be able to assert hegemony and leadership over East Asia, South Asia, and the larger Asian area as an entire or be prevented from doing so. The fact that both regimes are accumulating increasingly potent military assets through coordinated modernization initiatives only helps to exacerbate the situation (Muzaffar & Khan, 2021; Ogden, 2022).

As a result, it is possible to think of India-China relations as having a growing nexus where certain aspects of their relationship may be perceived as having both advantages and disadvantages at the same time. Given that both nations are advancing to ever-greater international standing, as well as the scope of their worldwide interests. The extent and magnitude of those advantages and obligations are accruing continuously in landscape. Internationally, further realism thoughtfulness their interactions as a typical illustration of a security problem, with many tactical moves made by each side being immediately reversed by the other always perceived as a danger to the interests of others (Pant, 2011). Equally, more constructivism thinking would pursue to emphasize how common goals and objectives, moreover anxieties and threats, are the invention of anthropological activity of community building which can give India-China relations a way to disengage from the security conundrum and concentrate on win-win outcomes (Hopf, 1998). These common social practices and their emotional effects, which have historical roots but are also ingrained in modern contact, can be used to understand state alliances and rivalries (Pardesi, 2010).

Based on this, we may utilize security risk observation as a valuable and important path to analyze and comprehend ties between Beijing and New Delhi. Threat perceptions is defined as the “anticipation of harm to either one's material assets or belief systems” (Baldwin, 1971), are formed by past contacts between nations and serve as the foundation for hypotheses about how players would conduct in specific circumstances. In this way, ancient hostility, conflicts, and rivalries recurrently foster a climate of mistrust and aggression for current relationships, escalating professed fears (Singer, 1958). This is unquestionably true of China-India kindred in light of the 1962 War's inheritance moreover other reminiscences of hostility and fight. This emphasizes how “even the potential of huge complete advantages for both countries do not provoke their collaboration so extensive as one worries how the other may utilize its greater skills” that overcoming such negativities is essential to fostering effective relationships (Waltz, 1978). In this view, the good side of the competition must win over its immoral side for supportive relations between Beijing and New Delhi to flourish. Thus, preserving a cooperation based on shared defense, political, and economic objectives will be necessary over time (Naidu, 2008).

This paper goes in the following way as it analyses such dynamics using these helpful heuristic tools. It begins by outlining the development of India-China ties historically during the last 75 years, from the time of their inauguration as contemporary countries in the late 1940s to the present. The study then examines the key elements of recent New Delhi-Beijing interactions by using this progression as an analytical compass. Here, we specifically analyze the interactions between the two countries in light of a wide range of variables before coming to a customary of assumptions that assess the facts of convergence and divergence in China-India relations and relevantly address the question of whether good or bad relations are currently in the influential (Muzaffar & Khan, 2021; Ogden, 2022).

2. Present economic relations between the two states:

India and China both seem to be fully emerging or poised to become big powers in the past ten years. Since the 1940s, obtaining—and even reestablishing—such a position was a long-sought goal for both Beijing and New Delhi since it is a major energetic within the external strategy objectives of both governments (Ogden, 2017). Their significance to the international system was and continues to be growing due to their expanding economic power shares, rising military spending, greatest populations on earth, and two of the major governments in the globe in rappings of territory. Regarding the first of these metrics, in 2020 China's gross domestic product (GDP) was \$24.27 trillion and India's was \$8.97 trillion, making them the first and third highest in the world and accounting for 18.3 percent and 6.8 percent of global



GDP, respectively (World Bank, 2022). The second and third greatest military expenditures worldwide in 2020, respectively, were made by India (\$72.9 billion) and China (\$252.3 billion). As a result, China and India are becoming increasingly important to other powerful nations in the global arrangement, notably the US, whose dominant spot seems to be in jeopardy due to a quickly developing China (World Bank, 2022).

A robust economy with a global focus confers possible larger components of interdependence and authority within the global arrangement but also provides the cautiously dominant nations a structure-determining primacy, according to the core thesis (Ogden, 2017). It has been widely anticipated over the past ten years that India and China will naturally complement one another, with the previous serving as the "back office of the world" and the latter as the "workshop of the world" (Huchet, 2008). The Chinese Premier Zhu Rongji endorsed both the countries unstoppable on a global scale. As a result, despite other points of contention between them, China-India economic links was seen as foremost key foundations of the India-China rapprochement that occurred in the end of twentieth century (Pant, 2011).

Intra-Indian trade between China and India touched a record \$125 billion in 2021, a 43.3 percent increase from 2020. This was indicative of the importance of the relationship, and despite the protracted standoff between their two forces in Eastern Ladakh that culminated in the Galwan fight in 2020 (The Economic Times, 2022). Notably, since 2011, when they occasionally exhibited less-than-exponential growth, such unique spikes have not characterized economic relationships. Since 2009, China has become India's top importer, highlighting the degree of this dependency. China was India's main trading partner in 2020, accounting for 13.8% of all imports, ahead of the US (7.6%) (Statista, 2021). During the COVID-19 epidemic, India likewise relied on Chinese technology and supplies with Beijing's superior technical know-how surpassing that of New Delhi (Patranobis, 2021).

Importantly, this status is not shared by China's imports from India, which has led to a system of substantially unbalanced economic ties and a \$69 billion trade deficit between the two countries in 2021 (The Economic Times, 2022). Such an imbalance casts doubt on broader normative assumptions about shared growth and the alleged "win-win" foundation of such relationships, which today favor China more than India.

As a component of China's larger Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), India has struggled linking the RCEP out of concern that it will pass through Pakistan-occupied Kashmir, theoretically undermining India's broader entitlements to the area while simultaneously enhancing Pakistan and allowing for the construction of roads that could be used by militants to enter Indian territory. More importantly, they share comparable underlying concerns even though they may be in different phases of development as two governments that are now liberalizing their economies and striving to become fully fledged actors in international affairs. In terms of how companies and professionals perceive the public sector to be corrupt, China and India were rated together 80th position in "Corruption Perceptions Index 2019" (Transparency International, 2019). As a result of largely unchecked and inadequately managed economic liberalization policies, both states are now experiencing extensive environmental contamination.

As a consequence, China produced 26.5 percent of the world's CO₂ emissions in 2018 while India produced 6.6 percent, ranking first and third, respectively, in terms of state-level emissions (Climate Watch, 2021). In India and China, air pollution contributed to 1.6 million fatalities in 2017, and in 2020, India and China together accounted for 46 of the 100 most polluted cities in the world, with India accounting for nine of the top ten most polluted cities (Duggal, 2021). Thus, both governments are interested in finding solutions to these problems, and they may cooperate in international frameworks and learn from one another in the process. These interactions balance the less favorable aspects of their complex economic relationships (Ogden, 2022).

3. The Shape of Modern Relationships on Military Grounds:

The pace of military investment on either side has increased along with both sides' economic prosperities. This spending has frequently been utilized to supplement each side's needs for commerce, energy, and territorial security. Additionally, military modernization of China and growing regional aggressiveness are seen from India's perspective as being directly related to its financial liberalization and the significant comparative advantages Beijing enjoys over other governments (Pant & Joshi, 2015). Due to its factually greater rates of economic growth, China has been able to expand extensively more than its allies, including India, thanks to these relative benefits. Additionally, there are joint training aerobics for anti-piracy in order to reduce risks to energy and commerce security in the IOR and support their sustained economic growth. Initiated in 2007, their shared armed workout "Exercise Hand-in-Hand" has had eight incarnations with both countries hosting the occasion, with the most recent one being in 2019. While there is mutually beneficial overlap



between both groups of interests, their territorially exclusive objectives have led to conflict. This conflict has gained more prominence in recent years as a result of India's and China's conflicting entitlements to the undecided regions of Aksai Chin and Arunachal Pradesh.

These conflicts, which are hampered by ill-defined boundaries and frequent Chinese intrusions, hinge on reestablishing the territorial integrity of each side. According to New Delhi, the conflict poses a larger security danger to India than to China because, if Beijing were to gain authority of Arunachal Pradesh, it would allow China to expand its influence across the Himalayas and into unreliable northeastern provinces of India (Fang, 2013). The current military standoff in Doklam in 2017 and the tragic confrontations in Galwan in 2020, which supplementary highlighted an experiential aspect in the joint danger assessments of both parties, are examples of these risk discernments and the dispute's inherent zero-sum character.

Both sides' more outspoken nationalist attitudes are contributing to rising tensions, as are internal calls for forceful, militarily supported reactions in the case of any perceived invasions. Further (perhaps fatal) military clashes between the two countries are not improbable in the upcoming given that India still views China with "deep mistrust" (Scott, 2008). The ongoing development of both sides' militaries, which includes China is working on supersonic missile technology, and in late 2021, India also tested a "China-centric" variant of the Agni V, as well as by jointly developing guided missile submarines and destroyers, both sides' perceptions of the danger are heightened. China's regional security is impacted by India's sale of armaments to the Vietnam and Philippines, while US advancements are being sparked by Beijing's developments (Scott, 2021; Yaseen, et. al. 2016).

Both sides' more outspoken nationalist attitudes are contributing to rising tensions, as are internal calls for forceful, militarily supported reactions in the case of any perceived invasions. Further (perhaps fatal) military clashes between the two countries are not improbable in the upcoming given that India still views China with "deep mistrust" (Scott, 2008). The ongoing development of both sides' militaries, which includes China is working on supersonic missile technology, and in late 2021, India also tested a "China-centric" variant of the Agni V, as well as by jointly developing guided missile submarines and destroyers, both sides' perceptions of the danger are heightened. China's regional security is impacted by India's sale of armaments to the Vietnam and Philippines, while US advancements are being sparked by Beijing's developments (Scott, 2021; Yaseen, et. al. 2016).

Both countries acknowledged, during the visit of Modi to China in 2015 that their "simultaneous re-emergence... as two major powers in the region and the globe, presents a monumental opportunity for (the) realization of the Asian Century" (MEA, 2015). India's participation in the Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank and its full membership in the Shanghai Cooperation Organization (SCO), which Modi viewed as "a logical extension of India's age-old ties with the region" and "will help us build a region which is an engine of economic growth for the world and is more stable," are emblematic of these sentiments. India's relations with the SCO includes cooperative military drills, the most recent of which took place in late 2021, even though they occurred around the same time as the Quad's "Malabar 21" drills (Ogden, 2022).

4. Conclusion:

India-China relations are plagued by a complex and ever-evolving ratio of interests that over time have converged and diverged, as has been evident during the course of their 75-year history of international engagement with one another. In areas focused on settling territorial conflicts favorably, reaching establishing unchallenged regional hegemony and taking the helm of the Asian Century, India and China seem driven together because of these strategic overlaps in aims and interests into conflict with one another conflict precedents and prior experience—most. The violent conflicts at Galwan in 2020 clearly emphasize this sense of threat. which substantial and ongoing material progressively intensifies, adding to their own intensity both entities accumulating power.

It seems challenging to find a course of action that avoids such concurrent convergence and divergence. Particularly since the start of China's BRI, regional influence competition has increased. As a result, cooperation between the two sides on important global issues like climate change, the nature of the global trading system, sovereignty issues, and humanitarian interventions, among others, has waned. As a result of this observation, other tensions between the two giants are exacerbated, which tilts their current relationships toward the unfavorable side of the double-edged sword. However, it would necessitate compromises from both sides, which are now challenging to achieve given the sometimes nationalist-fueled hostilities between the two factions. Greater regional forces, most notably the ongoing all-weather relations between Beijing and Islamabad, and New Delhi's obvious and deepening strategic recent tilt towards



Washington would also seem to partly weigh against forging such a strategic route. Such efforts become increasingly necessary and significant at an era when populism and nationalism are driving international relations, and there are two forceful and self-assured leaders in the personas of Narendra Modi and Xi Jinping. Increased collaboration in these areas can also contribute to a stronger sense of India and China's shared interdependence, understanding, and destiny. These linkages will be helpful during times of stress and potential conflict. It would be beneficial to accept strategic complexity rather than anticipate strategic simplicity and to keep in mind the crucial role of pragmatism in contemporary Indian foreign policy. As a result, even while it too suffers from the bad impacts of imbalanced and unequal trade with Beijing, New Delhi may profit from obtaining beneficial collaboration on issues like climate change and social governance/corruption. In addition, even though China continues to be Pakistan's strongest ally, India and China can cooperate militarily in some areas, such as the fight against crossborder wrongdoings like drug trafficking and the penetration of radical clusters. In the end, it will also support China's aspirations to become a great power and India's realization of the Asian Century individually and together.

REFERENCES:

1. Baldwin, D. A. (1971). Thinking about threat. *The Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 15(1), 71–78.
2. Climate Watch. (2021). Historical GHG emissions. World Resources Institution.
<https://www.wri.org/initiatives/climate-watch>
3. Cooper, A. F., & Farooq, A. B. (2016). The role of China and India in the G20 and BRICS: Commonalities or competitive behaviour? *Journal of Current Chinese Affairs*, 45(3), 73–106.
4. Duggal, H. (2021, November 22). Infographic: The 100 most polluted cities in the world. Al-Jazeera.
<https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2021/11/22/infographic-the-worlds-100-most-polluted-cities>.
5. Fang, T. (2013). *Asymmetrical threat perceptions in India–China relations*. Oxford University Press.
6. Garver, J. W. (2004b). India, China, the United States, Tibet, and the origins of the 1962 war. *India Review*, 3(2), 9–20.
7. Guha, K. (2012). Sino-Indian relations: History, problems and prospects. *Harvard International Review*, 34(2), 26–29.
8. Hopf, T. (1998). The promise of constructivism in international relations theory. *International Security*, 23(1), 171–200.
9. Huchet, J. (2008). Between geostrategic rivalry and economic competition: Emergence of a pragmatic India–China relationship. *China Perspectives*, 3, 50–67.
10. Ministry of External Affairs (MEA). (2015, May 15). Joint statement between India and China during prime minister's visit to China.
<http://mea.gov.in/bilateraldocuments>.
11. Muzaffar, M., & Khan, I. (2016). China-Russia Relations after the Cold War. *Orient Research Journal of Social Sciences*, 1, 151-169.
12. Muzaffar, M., & Khan, I. (2021). China's Foreign Policy and Strategic Stability towards South Asia: An Analysis. *South Asian Studies* 36(2). 339-350.
13. Muzaffar, M., Yaseen, N., & Rahim, N. (2017). Hanging Dynamics of Global Politics: Transition from Unipolar to Multipolar World, *LASSIJ Liberal Arts and Social Sciences International Journal*, I (I), 49-61.
14. Muzaffar, M., Shah, S. T. A., & Yaseen (2018). Pax Sinica in Asia: China's Emergent Geopolitics of Economic Corridors and Dream of Leadership, *Global Political Review*, III (I), 101-109.
15. Naidu, G. V. C. (2008, December 15–16). India–Japan relations: Emerging contours of strategic partnership [Paper presentation]. 10th IDSA-JIA Bilateral Seminar, New Delhi.
16. Ogden, C. (2014). *Indian foreign policy: Ambition and transition*. Polity Press.
17. Ogden, C. (2017). *China and India: Asia's emergent great powers*. Polity Press.
18. Ogden, C. (2022). The Double-Edged Sword: Reviewing India–China Relations. *India Quarterly*, 09749284221089530.
19. Pant, H. V. (2011). India's relations with China. In D. Scott (Ed.), *Handbook of India's international relations* (pp. 233–242). London.
20. Pant, H., & Joshi, Y. (2015). *The US pivot and Indian foreign policy: Asia's evolving balance of power*. Palgrave Macmillan.
21. Pardesi, M. S. (2010). Understanding (changing) Chinese strategic perceptions of India. *Strategic Analysis*, 34(4), 562–578.



21. Patranobis, S. (2021, May 8). Indian firms procuring over 60,000 oxygen concentrators from China. Hindustan Times.
22. Rusko, C., & Sasikumar, K. (2007). India and China: From trade to peace? *Asian Perspective*, 31(4), 99– 123.
23. Scott, D. (2008). The great power ‘great game’ between India and China: ‘The logic of geography’. *Geopolitics*, 13(1), 1–26.
24. Scott, D. (2021, January 12). Missile race spirals between China and India [China–India Brief #196]. <https://lkyspp.nus.edu.sg/cag/publications/details/china-india-brief-196>
25. Sidhu, W. P. S., & Yuan, J-D. (2003). China and India: Cooperation or conflict? Lynne Rienner.
26. Singer, J. D. (1958). Threat perception and the armament-tension dilemma. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 2(1), 90–105.
27. Statista. (2021, March 31). Distribution of India’s imports in financial year 2020. <https://www.statista.com/statistics/650670/import-share-by-source-country-india/>
28. Tellis, A. J. (2004). China and India in Asia. In F. R. Frankel & H. Harding (Eds.), *The India–China relationship: What the United States needs to know* (pp. 134–77). Columbia University Press
29. The Economic Times. (2022). India–China trade grows to record \$125 billion in 2021 despite tensions in eastern Ladakh.
30. Transparency International. (2019). Corruption Perceptions Index 2019. <https://www.transparency.org/en/cpi/2019>
31. Vajpayee, A. (1998, May 13). Nuclear anxiety: India’s letter to Clinton on the nuclear testing. *New York Times*. <https://www.nytimes.com/1998/05/13/world/nuclear-anxietyindian-s-letter-to-clinton-onthe-nuclear-testing.html>
32. Waltz, K. N. (1978). *Theory of international politics*. Waveland Press.
33. World Bank. (2022). GDP PPP constant \$s. https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.MKTP.PP.CD?most_recent_value_desc=true
34. Yaseen, Z., Jathol, I., & Muzaffar, M. (2016). Pakistan and India Relations: A Political Analysis of Conflicts and Regional Security in South Asia, *Global Political Review*, 1 (I), 1-09.
35. Zhu, Z. (2011). China–India Relations in the 21st century: A critical inquiry. *Indian Journal of Asian Affairs*, 24(1), 1–16.